

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 09/636,783 | 08/11/2000 | Hidefumi Yamashita | 13783 (JP9-1999-0150 US1) | 8476 | |
| 75 | 590 12/17/2003 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Scully Scott Murphy & Presser | | | NGUYEN, HOAN C | | |
| 400 Garden Cit | y Plaza | | | | |
| Garden City, N | IY 11530 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2871 | | |
| | | | DATE MAH ED. 12/17/200 | DATE MAIL ED. 12/17/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | W. |
|--|--|---|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 09/636,783 | YAMASHITA ET AL. | |
| • | Examin r | Art Unit | |
| | HOAN C. NGUYEN | 2871 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communica | tion appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence addr | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2003 FAILS Therefore, further action by the applicant is requisinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR | lired to avoid abandonment of this a either: (1) a timely filed amendment of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a | application. A proper reply twhich places the application | to a tion in |
| PERIOD | FOR REPLY [check either a) or b) |] | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the r b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing description of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing description of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing description of the period for reply expires on: (1) the FIRST RIVERS ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST RIVERS ONLY CHECK THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY ON THE PROPER | ate of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set by expire later than SIX MONTHS from the EPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS (36(a)). The date on which the petition under the period of extension and the corresponding to date of the shortened statutory period for by the Office later than three months after the | mailing date of the final rejection OF THE FINAL REJECTION. \$ 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the approperations are supposed in the second of the fee. The approperations are supposed in the final C | on. See MPEP priate extension ppriate extension Office action: or |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appeared as Appeared Appeared as Appeared as Appeared as Appeared Appeared as Appeared Appeared as Appeared as Appeared Appeared Appeared Appeared | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be e | ntered because: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would requ | uire further consideration and/or sea | arch (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see | ee Note below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the app issues for appeal; and/or | lication in better form for appeal by | materially reducing or sim | plifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without NOTE: | t canceling a corresponding numbe | er of finally rejected claims | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following | ng rejection(s): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | would be allowable if submitted in | n a separate, timely filed a | mendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ real application in condition for allowance became. | quest for reconsideration has been ause: See Continuation Sheet. | considered but does NOT | place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consideraised by the Examiner in the final rejection | ered because it is not directed SOLI on. | ELY to issues which were | newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ame explanation of how the new or amended of | endment(s) a)⊡ will not be entered claims would be rejected is provided | l or b)⊠ will be entered an I below or appended. | nd an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as | follows: | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1,7 and 10. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2- | 6,8,9 and 11-14. | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a |)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved | by the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure S | Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No | (s) | |
| 0. Other: | | | |
| | SUP | St | ŝP P |
| | | .0 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

0

- --

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 12/11/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's ONLY arguments are follows:

A. Colgan et al. do not disclose "there is provided a column-like structure for keeping the gap between said first and second substrates constant and a shape of said wall-like structure is determined based on a state of said column-like structure."

Examiner's responses to Applicants' ONLY arguments are follows:

A. Colgan et al. disclose

"a layer equivalent to the desired gap thickness, is formed by deposition or growth on the surface of the substrate to be the display area, the layer is then pattern etched to leave gap height establishing posts integral with the substrate at as many locations as are desired in the area of the display" (col. 1 lines 59-61).

"The barriers 25, 31 and 33 and the posts 24 and 34 are integral with the substrate 20, and are the gap space vertical dimension "A". (col. 4 lines 13-16)

Therefore, "there is provided a column-like structure for keeping the gap "A" between said first and second substrates constant and a shape of said wall-like structure is determined based on a state of said column-like structure."

Furthermore, responding to claim 7 is not proper. Colgan disclose

"The shape and arrangement of the barrier segments 25, 31 and 33 is to provide a barrier to the diffusion of contaminants from an adhesive, not shown, located in the region 29 at the peripheral edge of the face 23 and to permit a gas (AIR) to move through opening or interruptions 30 in the segmented wall"(col. 4 lines 29-34).

"the peripheral spacer posts 34 allow excessive glue 35 in the region 29 to flow out. Spacer barrier segments 25 and 31 provide for glue containment to keep it away from the array 26 and to keep the glue away from the liquid crystal in the array 26 area" (col. 5 lines 38-43).

"gap dimension maintaining posts and adhesive contaminant diffusion inhibition segmented walls, remain after the display area i etched back out of the layer" (col. 6 lines 18-21).

Wherein "glue" is seal member. Therefore, "Glue" must be fluidized to flow or diffuse into the segment walls.